



Evaluation of Air Quality Prediction and NAM models

Jeff McQueen, Jianping Huang, Perry Shafran and Sarah Lu 26 September 2013



More Emphasis on NAM Nests



NCEP/EMC will move to high resolution (3 km) Ensembles

In the next 3-5 years.

NAM Parent may be replaced by Global Model in this time frame.

HYSPLIT, other dispersion models like HPAC

- Smoke/dust/radiological/chemical releases
- Support Jianping Huang's study for the AMS annual meeting where he will compare HYSPLIT using the NAM parent vs the nest

RTMA and downscaling (DNG)

• Most of downscaling is done from the NAM nests for hours 01-60 (and parent for other hours).







- Model
 - T1534 Semi-Lagrangian (~13km globally)
 - Use of high resolution daily SST and sea ice analysis
 - Physics
 - Cloud estimate modifications
 - Radiation modifications
 - High wind surface drag modification
 - Convective gravity wave drag
 - Dissipative heating
 - Snow accumulation consistent between model and post-processor
 - Land Surface
 - Removal of soil moisture nudging to climatology
 - Modification of vegetation tables
 - 20 category high resolution vegetation and high resolution soil type
 - Spin up of land state



Mesoscale Modeling 3-5 yr goals



High Resolution Rapid Refresh ENSEMBLE (HRRRE)

Each member of NARRE contains 3 km nests

- CONUS, Alaska, Hawaii & Puerto Rico/Hispaniola nests
- The two control runs initialized with radar data & other hi-res obs
- Provide PROBABILITY guidance with full Probability Density Function specified, hence uncertainty information too
- Provide a vehicle to improve assimilation capabilities using hybrid (EnKF+4DVar) technique with current & future radar & satellite
- Address Warn-on-Forecast as resolutions evolve towards ~1 km

CMAQ Operational Ozone Forecasts



- Continued to use 2012 emission updates:
 - Mobile6 used for mobile emissions, but with emissions scaled by growth/reduction rate from 2005 to 2012
 - Non-road area sources use Cross State Rule Inventory
 - Canadian emissions use 2006 inventory
- FY14: CMAQ V4.6 ozone transferred to NCEP Production run and continue PM testing
- Suspended : Testing of V4.7.1, inclusion of smoke, PM data assimilation at EMC



(prd) 12Z 1H-16H 1st d 1h max sf O_s (ppbv) Valid 11 SEP 2013



000.0 000.0 500.0 000.0

250.0

100.

HYSPLIT Dispersion



Dust updates:

- Dust predictions implemented operationally in March 2012
- Dust emissions are modulated by real-time soil moisture

Smoke updates: CONUS, Alaska, Hawaii in July 2013

updates to plume rise and deposition parameters

Emergency Response, On-Demand: Upgraded July 2013

- Volcanic ash, WMO Center for emergency response for radiological release
- Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Rad. Source Location support





Column Smoke

Surface Dust



HYSPLIT Smoke Upgrades Impact Column Avg (op-exp, ug/m3)



130730/0000v018 *



EXPERIMENTAL hysplit pb) smoke forecast US 130730/0000V018 "



HYSPLIT Smoke and Dust Verification Column Avg (op-exp, ug/m3)





http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/aq/fvs/hysplit/web/html



Emergency Response: Fukushima Simulations



NOAA HYSPLIT MODEL Concentration (mBq/m3) averaged between 0 m and 500 m Integrated from 1800 11 Mar to 0000 12 Mar 11 (UTC) Cpar Release started at 1800 11 Mar 11 (UTC)

100 m >1.0E+03 mBg/m3 >5.0E+02 mBq/m3 >2.0E+02 mBq/m3 from >1.0E+02 mBq/m3 >5.0E+01 mBq/m3 >2.0E+01 mBq/m3 >1.0E+01 mBq/m3 >5.0E+00 mBq/m3 Ш -1'50 >1.0E+00 mBq/m3 141.033 >5.0E-01 mBq/m3 >2.0E-01 mBq/m3 30 >1.0E-01 mBq/m3 Z Maximum: 1.2E+03 37.421 (identified as a square) Minimum: 5.1E-04 Source 🜣

GHDA METEOROLOGICAL DATA

- Cs-137 air concentrations
- 5000 particles per hour
- 0.5 degree NOAA GDAS meteorological data
- Most important Met parameter:
 - Precip near the source

Overview of NOAA GFS Aerosol Component (NGAC)



Model Configuration:

- Forecast model: Global Forecast System (GFS) based on NOAA Environmental Modeling System (NEMS), NEMS-GFS
- Aerosol model: NASA Goddard Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport Model, GOCART

Phased Implementation:

- Dust-only guidance is established in Q4FY12
- Full-package aerosol forecast after real-time global smoke emissions are developed (JSCDA project)

Near-Real-Time Dust Forecasts

- 5-day dust forecast once per day (at 00Z), output every 3 hour, at T126 L64 resolution
- ICs: Aerosols from previous day forecast and meteorology from operational GDAS

Acknowledge: Development and operational implementation of NGAC represents a successful "research to operations" project sponsored by NASA Applied Science Program and JCSDA





Dynamic LBCs for regional models



- Baseline NAM-CMAQ with static LBCs versus experimental NAM-CMAQ with dynamic LBCs from NGAC, verified against AIRNOW observations
- The inclusion of LBCs from NGAC prediction is found to improve PM forecasts (e.g., reduced mean biases, improved correlations)

	CMAQ Baseline	CMAQ Experimental
Whole domain July 1 – Aug 3	MB= -2.82 R=0.42	MB= -0.88 R=0.44
South of 38°N, East of -105°W July 1 – Aug 3	MB= -4.54 R=0.37	MB= -1.76 R=0.41
Whole domain July 18– July 30	MB= -2.79 R=0.31	MB= -0.33 R=0.37
South of 38°N, East of -105°W July 18– July 30	MB= -4.79 R=0.27	MB= -0.46 R=0.41







NAM Parent and Nest Domains



1250

1500 1750 2000

2500



http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/mmbpll/nam_conusnest





-24

-28 -32

-38

Real Time Mesoscale Analysis 2.5 km

Useful for Evaluations

2-M TEMP NAM 12H FCST VALID 00Z 12 SEP 2013

Downscaled predictions to 84 forecast hours (DNG)

20

-24

-28

-32

-38

2-M TEMP RTMA VALID OOZ 12 SEP 2013

http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/mmbpll/nampllverif_2mods

CMAQ 8h max O3 Threat Score by threshold

West





East



http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/aq/fvs/web/html/fho.html



OAVG

CMAQ Ozone BIAS by day (33 hr, 8 H avg)





Strongest overprediction in East Highest Observations on 6/20-22, 7/18-20 www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/aq/fvs/web/html/



CMAQ Operational 1h Max Day 2 Ozone forecast



(prd) 12Z 17H-40H 2 day 1h max sf O₃ (ppbv) Valid 22 JUN 2013



(prd) 12Z 17H-40H 2 day 1h max sf O_s (ppbv) Valid 19 JUL 2013



2 M T & TD BIAS by region NAM Parent





- Largest daytime Cold Bias : NMN, SMN + moist bias
- Largest nighttime Cold Bias : SWC
- Largest daytime warm bias: SWC (large diurnal amplitude) + strong dry bias
- warm bias : NMN, SMN + dry bias
- Largest T/TD errors largest over mountainous areas (small diurnal amplitude)



Physics Changes in NAM-X Parallel To be implemented in June 2014



- Gravity wave drag / mountain-blocking changes: more responsive to subgrid-scale terrain variability (impacts the synoptic scale)
- Moister convective profiles so convection triggers less (fres=.75 in parent vs fres=.25 in nests)
- **RRTM (SW/LW)** with enhancements:
 - Bug fix for sub-hourly zenith angle calculations
 - Updated O3, CO2 and other trace gases
 - Changes to albedo (removed diurnal variation)
 - Include effects of shallow (non-precipitating) convection
- *Remove 4x diffusion of moisture variables*
- Microphysics bug fix, reduced max. number concentration of ice



2 M Temperature Summer 2013 NAM, NAMX vs Nest Runs





CONUS Nest warm bias

19



2 M Dewpoint Temperature Summer 2013 NAM, NAMX vs Nest





NAM-X very moist CONUS Nest best

20



10 M Wind Speed Summer 2013 NAM, NAMX vs Nest









BIAS



PBL Hgt underpredicted NAM-X lowest in West



Parallel Nest Performance (NAM-X) 24h Precip: Summer 2013





THREEHDLD (INCHES)

THREEHDLO (INCHES)



NAM vs NAM-X & Nests RMSE/Bias 03 h Diurnal Precip: Summer 2013





NAM Nests Large over prediction in evening Timing error, too much convection ?



Summary



<u>CMAQ</u>

- WCOSS transition completed
- CMAQ experimental ozone performance improved

NAM Nest Behavior

- Larger wet precip bias over domain compared to Parent at higher thresholds (> 1") for both prod and para runs
- Wet bias also in 3 hr precip as well as +6 hr phase shift compared to parent
- Warm, dry daytime bias over Rockies
- General moist dew point bias except over Rockies

NAM-X behavior

- Convective Precip over prediction
 Increased mixing near surface needed?
 - vertical resolution tests underway
 - Improved convective mixing tests